Case 1:22-cv-10088-JHR-KHP Document 16 Filed 03/03/23 Page 1 of 5

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991)
RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956)
SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995)
LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950)
JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 373-3250

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 492-0250

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

Ireisner@paulweiss.com

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER 5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

> SUITES 3601 – 3606 & 3610 36/F, GLOUCESTER TOWER THE LANDMARK 15 QUEEN'S ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE
10 NOBLE STREET
LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM
TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

535 MISSION STREET, 24TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TELEPHONE (628) 432-5100

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE 77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100 PO. BOX 226 TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3 TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

> 2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410 MATTHEW W. ABBOTT
EDWARD T. ACKERMAN
JACOPA ADERSTEIN
ALLAN J. ARFRA
JONATHAN H. ASHTOR
ROBERT A. ATKINS
SCOTT A. BARSHAY
PAUL M. BASTA
LYNNEN B. BAYAR
BRUCE BIRENBOIM
M. CHRISTOPHER BOEHNING
BRIAN BOLIN
ANGELO BONVINO
ANDER G. BOUCHARD*
PAUL D. BRACHMAN
GEOBERT A. BRITTON
WALTER F. BROWN*
SUSANNA M. BUERGEL
JESSICA S. CAREY
JOHN P. CARLIN
DAVID CARMONA
GEOFFREY R. LOG
WILLIAM A. CLAREMAN
LEWIS R. CLAYTON
YAHONNES CLEARY
REBECCA S. COCCARO
JAY COHEN
KELLEY A. PORTON
KELLEY A. PORTON
KELLEY A. PORTON
KELLEY A. DENISH
MEREDITH R. DEARBORN*
ARIEL J. DECKELBAUM
KAREN L. DUNN
ALICE BELISLE EATON
ANDREW C. FINCH
BRAD J. FINKELSTEIN
BRIAN P. FINNEGAN
NONDER W. FINCH
BRAD J. FINKELSTEIN
BRIAN P. FINNEGAN
NONDER W. FINCH
BRAD J. FINKELSTEIN
BRIAN P. FINNEGAN
NOBERTO FINZI
PETER E. FISCH
HATTHER B. FORRESTE
HARRIS B. FREIDUS
MANUEL S. FREY
KENNETH A. GALLO
MICHAELS H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. FIREIDUS
MANUEL S. FREY
KENNETH A. GALLO
MICHAELS H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. FREIDUS
MANUEL S. FREY
KENNETH A. GALLO
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. HERMAN
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. HERMAN
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. HERMAN
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. HERMAN
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. HERMAN
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. HERMAN
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ*
CHARLES H. GOOGE, JR.
ANDREW G. GORDON
BRIAN S. HERMAN
MATTHEW B. GOLDSTEIN
ROBERT H. HOFFMAN
ROBERT H. HOFFMAN
ROBERT H. HOFFMAN
ROBERT H. HOFFMAN
ROBERT H. HO

BRIAN KRAUSE
CAITH KUSHNER
KAISA KUUSK
DAVID K. LAKHDHIR
GREGORY F. LAUFER
BRIAN C. LAVIN
XIAOYU GREG LIU
KANDTUSKEW

ANDTUSKEW

LEFFERY D. MARELL
MARCO V. MASOTTI
DAVID W. MAYO
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM
JEAN M. MCLOUGHLIN
ALVARO MEMBRILLENA
MARKO V. MASOTTI
DAVID W. MAYO
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM
JEAN M. MCLOUGHLIN
ALVARO MEMBRILLENA
MCLOUGHLIN
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM
JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
CATHERIN J. MORGAN
JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
CATHERINE NYARADY
JANE B. O'BRIEN
BO'BRIEN
BO'BRI

March 3, 2023

By ECF

Honorable Jennifer H. Rearden United States District Judge Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl St. New York, NY 10007-1312

*NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR

Re: Virtu Financial, Inc. v. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 1:22-cv-10088-JHR (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Rearden:

We respectfully submit this letter on behalf of the parties in the above-referenced action in response to the Court's February 17, 2023 Order (Dkt. 15) requesting a joint status update. Set forth below are joint responses to the Court's specific requests for information.

1. Counsel for Plaintiff, Virtu Financial, Inc. is as follows:

Lorin L. Reisner, Esq., learner@paulweiss.com, (212) 373-3000 Andrew G. Gordon, Esq., agordon@paulweiss.com, (212) 373-3543 Jessica S. Carey, Esq., jcarey@paulweiss.com, (212) 373-3566 Kristina Bunting, Esq., kbunting@paulweiss.com, (212) 373-3503 Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064

Counsel for Defendant, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission is as follow:

Michael S. Bailey, Esq., <u>BaileyM@sec.gov</u>, (202) 551-7428 Alexandra Verdi, Esq., <u>VerdiM@sec.gov</u>, (202) 551-7428 U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549-9612

2. On November 29, 2022, Plaintiff, a global market maker and financial services firm, filed this action against the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The complaint alleges that the SEC violated the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") by failing to timely and reasonably respond to Plaintiff's June 2022 FOIA request seeking documents and information regarding the SEC's communications with certain third parties concerning retail stock order handling and execution. *See* Compl. ¶¶ 1–3. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and litigation costs under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E). *Id.* at 13. On January 9, 2023, the SEC answered Plaintiff's complaint and asserted affirmative defenses.

Plaintiff alleges that it is seeking documents and information pursuant to the FOIA so that it can better understand the rulemaking process associated with four recently proposed rules regulating the retail securities market and to allow Plaintiff to more effectively engage in the public comment period for those rules, which ends on

March 31, 2023. *See* Compl. ¶ 3. Plaintiff alleges that the SEC has not provided any meaningful production of documents to date. On the contrary, Plaintiff alleges that the SEC has engaged in a pattern of stonewalling and delay.

The SEC denies that it has improperly withheld documents or engaged in stonewalling. Moreover, the SEC disputes Plaintiff's argument that the timing of the rulemaking described above should bear on the processing of the FOIA request in this litigation. The SEC's position is that such a consideration is not relevant under the FOIA, and Plaintiff has not requested expedited treatment of its request. *See* 17 C.F.R. § 200.80(d)(7). Plaintiff notes that it was not entitled to request expedited treatment under the circumstances of this case (i.e. no imminent threat to an individual's life or physical safety and Virtu is not primarily engaged in disseminating information).

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). Compl. ¶ 12. Venue is likewise proper under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e). *Id.* ¶ 13. Plaintiff's principal place of business is in this District; Defendant is an agency of the United States. *Id.*
- 4. There are no existing deadlines, due dates, or cut-off dates, except that, as further explained in paragraph 9 below, the parties have agreed to criteria for the review of potentially responsive emails with a rolling production to begin on or about March 9, 2023. The SEC estimates that the production will be completed within seven months.
- 5. There are no previously scheduled conferences or arguments.
- 6. There are no outstanding motions.
- 7. There are no pending appeals.

- ------
 - 8. No discovery has taken place. It is the SEC's position that discovery is not warranted in this litigation, and even if it was, could not occur until the Court considers summary judgment motions. *See DiGirolamo v. Drug Enforcement Admin.*, No. 1:15-cv-5737, 2017 WL 4382097, at *8 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 29, 2017) ("[D]iscovery relating to the agency's search and the exemptions it claims for withholding records generally is unnecessary if the agency's submissions [at summary judgment] are adequate on their face") (citing *Carney v. U.S. Dept. of Justice*, 19 F.3d 807, 812 (2d Cir. 1994)). Plaintiff reserves the right to take appropriate discovery.
- 9. No settlement discussions have taken place. The parties have, however, had discussions concerning the outstanding FOIA request. Plaintiff agreed to criteria for production of text messages from the mobile devices of nine SEC custodians. The SEC completed this search, did not find responsive text messages for seven of the custodians, and produced all responsive text messages for the remaining two custodians, with portions redacted pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. Plaintiff notes this consisted of a total of 18 pages and was limited to documents already in Plaintiff's possession. With respect to email, Plaintiff has agreed to narrow its initial request and proceed with the production of responsive emails outside the SEC's "Complex Track." See 17 C.F.R. § 200.80(d)(4). The SEC has informed Plaintiff that it intends to begin processing 500 pages of documents per month and produce responsive documents on a rolling basis over the next seven months starting approximately on March 9, 2023, subject to the agency's further determinations for responsiveness and applicable exemptions under FOIA. The SEC also informed Plaintiff that it may be

Case 1:22-cv-10088-JHR-KHP Document 16 Filed 03/03/23 Page 5 of 5

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

Honorable Jennifer H. Rearden

5

able to process more than 500 pages of documents a month depending on the extent

to which the documents contain material subject to applicable FOIA exemptions.

10. The parties have not discussed alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, nor do we

believe it is necessary to do so at this time while the parties attempt to make progress

on production of a narrowed set of documents.

11. The SEC states that it does not expect that a trial will be necessary to resolve the

litigation. Plaintiff states that any trial in this matter is likely to require

approximately two to three days.

12. At this time, the parties believe that this case does not raise any dispositive or novel

issues.

Respectfully,

/s/ Lorin L. Reisner

Lorin L. Reisner

/s/ Michael S. Bailey

Michael S. Bailey

cc: Counsel of record (by ECF)